

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/542,952 | 04/17/2006 | David Cressey | 3174-01 | 2038 | |
| 26645 THE LUBRIZ | 7590 04/19/201 OL CORPORATION | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| ATTN: DOCKET CLERK, PATENT DEPT. 29400 LAKELAND BLVD. | | | OLADAPO, TAIWO | | |
| WICKLIFFE. | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | 1797 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 04/19/2010 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/542,952 | CRESSEY ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| TAIWO OLADAPO | 1797 | | |

| | TAIWO OLADAPO | 1797 | |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LOWANCE. | |
| X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or [MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07()). | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic e of the final rejection, e | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed, |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMELINATION. | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially rec | lucing or simplifying th | ne issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1) | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (f | PTOI -324) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | ripilant / trionamont (i | TOE OE+). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, | • | |
| 7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an ex | planation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-5.23.25-34 and 37. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se | and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attache | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| ТО | /Ellen M McAvoy/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 1797 | |
| | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly amended claim 34 adds limitation narrowing the percent of the reaction products of hydrocarbyl substituted aromatic compound and organic nitrogen-base compound in the composition to from 0.5 to 15 w/% which would require further search and/or consideration.